/www.inel.gov

capabilities/biotechnologies

Biological Reduction of Metals

any sites contaminated with chlorinated solvents are also polluted with metals. Physical methods such as vapor extraction and chemical methods for remediating solvents in soils or deep earth sediments have been successfully used for some time. In situ methods for the remediation of metals.

radionuclides (since most are metals), are still being developed. Reducing metals to a nontoxic or stable form (refractory state) in environmental media is in early development. In an environmental toxicity sense, several metals, including uranium, cadmium, and chromium, are of common

however, including

concern in the U.S.

Department of Energy
complex. Considerable
progress has been made with
chromium in its various
chemical states in soils and
sediments. The following
portrayal of chromium
illustrates what lies ahead for
other environmentally
polluting metals, including
radionuclides.

Focus

Hexavalent chromium [Cr(VI)] often enters the environment through anthropogenic activity in

the forms of chromate (CrO_4^-) and dichromate $(Cr_2O_7^-)$. They are regarded as highly toxic and carcinogenic pollutants.

They are very water soluble and mobile pollutants and are also difficult to remove from solution. The main objective of our INEEL research has been to develop a method in which naturally occurring bacteria convert Cr(VI) to the less toxic, less soluble, and less mobile trivalent chromium [Cr(III)]. After bacterial conversion to Cr(III), the chromium can then either be removed from solution through filtration or sedimentation. In most soils, conversion of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in groundwater will result in adsorption of Cr(III) to the soil and organics. With this technique, we can treat Cr(VI) in groundwater, soil wash effluent, industrial waste streams, and unsaturated soils.



In this lab-scale process, Cr(VI) (characteristic yellow, on left, was pumped into the cylindrical bioreactor where Cr(VI- reducing bacteria were immobilized into porous beads. The resulting Cr(III) exited the bioreactor into the container on the right. Note the characteristic greenish color of Cr(III) precipitate on the bottom. The bacterial feedstock, molasses, was delivered by a syringe pump.

Cr(VI) reducing bacteria are common in most soils. Our approach involves using the microbial ecology and physiology of Cr(VI)-reducing



INEEL BIOTECHNOLOGY



www.inel.gov/ capabilities/biotechnologies

Technical Contact

William A. Apel, Ph.D.

Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory P.O. Box 1625, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-2203

Phone - 208-526-1873 Fax - 208-526-5429 Email - waa@inel.gov

Management Contact

Dr. Melinda Hamilton

Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory P.O. Box 1625, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-2203

Phone - 208-526-0948 Fax - 208-526-0828 Email hmn@inel.gov aerobes and/or anaerobes that can reduce Cr(VI). These Cr(VI)-reducing bacteria, as part of their normal physiology, reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in both aerobic and anaerobic environments. We have developed selection methods that allow expression of a bacterial population in a bioreactor or in a subsurface dominated by Cr(VI)reducing bacteria. Cr(VI) is converted (reduced) to Cr(III) very efficiently. Our work shows that the conditions in a bioreactor select not just Cr(VI)reducing bacteria, but the most efficient Cr(VI) reducers.

This bioprocess can be used for treating soil wash effluent and industrial waste streams. It can also be used in conjunction with pump and treat technologies for groundwater remediation. The technology may be used alone and replace existing, less efficient technologies, or in conjunction with conventional methods as a pretreatment step for surges of Cr(VI), or as a posttreatment polishing step.

We are exploring the possibility of treating Cr(VI)-contaminated soils in place. By exploiting the indigenous Cr(VI) reducers by

adding supplemental bacterial nutrients to soils or groundwater, these bacteria could biologically reduce the Cr(VI) to Cr(III) without the need to excavate any soil or sediment, which for deep subsurface cases is not an option. Since Cr(III) is much less soluble than Cr(VI), it could adsorb to the soil or sediment and become immobilized, dramatically minimizing the problem of Cr(VI) contamination. Results to date demonstrate that Cr(VI) reducers in soils could easily be exploited to safely and economically bioreduce Cr(VI) in place.

Selected Publications/Presentations/Patents

- E. A. Schmieman, D. R. Yonge, M. A. Gege, J. N. Petersen, C. E. Turick, D. L. Johnstone, and W. A. Apel, "Comparative Kinetics of Bacterial Reduction of Chromium," *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, May 1–7, 1998.
- **C. E. Turick, C. Graves, and W. A. Apel**, "Bioremediation Potential of Cr(VI)-Contaminated Soil Using Indigenous Microorganisms," *Bioremediation Journal*, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 1–6, 1998.
- M. A. Rege, J. N. Petersen, D. L. Johnstone, C. E. Turick, D. R. Yonge and W. A. Apel, "Bacterial Reduction of Hexavalent Chromium by *Enterobacter cloacae* Strain Ho1 Grown on Sucrose," *Biotechnological Letters* (in press), 1997.
- C. E. Turick and W. A. Apel, "Method for *In-situ* and *Ex-situ* Bioremediation of Hexavalent Chromium Contaminated Soils and/or Groundwater," U.S. Patent 5,681,739, issued October 28, 1997.
- C. E. Turick, C. E. Camp, and W. A. Apel, "Reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in a Packed-Bed Bioreactor," *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, Vol. 63–65, pp. 871–877, 1997.
- E. E. Schmieman, J. N. Petersen, D. R. Yonge, D. L. Johnstone, Y. Bereded-Samuel, W. A. Apel, and C. E. Turick, "Bacterial Reduction of Chromium," *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, Vol. 63–65, pp. 855–864, 1997.
- **C. E. Turick and W. A. Apel,** "A Bioprocessing Strategy that Allows for the Selection of Cr(VI) Reducing Anaerobes from Soils, *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, Vol. 18, pp. 247–250, 1997.
- C. E. Turick, W. A. Apel, and N. S. Carmiol, "Isolation of Hexavalent Chromium Reducing Bacteria from Contaminated and Noncontaminated Environments," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, Vol. 44, pp. 683–688, 1996.

